### Remark

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-11, 13-16, 18-22, 24-27, 29-33, 35-37, 39-40, 42-46, 48-49 and 51-61 have been amended. No Claims have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-61 are present for examination.

# 35 U.S.C. §112 Rejection,

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 19, 30 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The limitation has been rewritten to avoid this rejection. The wording is taken from page 27, lines 5-10 of the originally filed application.

# 35 U.S.C. §102/103 Rejections

#### Persson et al.

The Examiner has rejected all of the claims again based primarily Persson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,647,000 ("Persson"). Applicant respectfully submits that in Persson, the conventional GSM scheme of assigned RACH slots is used, while in the present application the timing relationship to a broadcast burst is used. In this way, for example, an otherwise unitialized user terminal can send CR without any knowledge of the frequency hopping sequence parameters. (See page 28, pages 14-19.)

The difference between the references and the invention is clear and Applicant looks forward to developing terminology for the claim that spells out this significant difference.

Attorney Docket No. 015685P052 Application No. 09/675,274

#### Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections have been overcome by the amendment and remark, and that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and the claims as amended be allowed.

### Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

### Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account.

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: December 9, 2005

Reg. No. 33,192

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Attorney Docket No. 015685P052

Application No. 09/675,274